

**REMARKS**


This Amendment should be entered as simplifying the issues on appeal and as raising no issues that would require further search or consideration.

First, this Amendment cancels claim 7, which eliminates the 35 U.S.C. § 101 issue.

Second, this Amendment corrects obvious errors of a typographical nature in claims 9 and 10. It is submitted that these corrections correct obvious errors and do not raise issues that would require further search or consideration. It is submitted that the Examiner examined claims 9 and 10 as if these two corrections had been made. If they had not, it is submitted that the Examiner would have made a 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph rejection for a lack of proper antecedent basis. As an aside, if this Amendment is not entered, it could increase the issues on appeal by raising a 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph issue.

Third, this Amendment presents amendments which were also proposed in the preceding two Amendments After Final. The Advisory Actions issued in connection with those two Amendments After Final raised no issue concerning the entry of these amendments to claims 9 and 10. Accordingly, it is submitted that the present Amendment should be entered.

Respectfully submitted,



Thomas E. Kocovsky, Jr.  
Registration No. 28,383

Robert M. Sieg  
Registration No. 54,446

FAY SHARPE LLP  
1100 Superior Avenue – Seventh Floor  
Cleveland, Ohio 44114-2579  
Telephone: (216) 363-9000  
Facsimile: (216) 241-1666  
E-Mail: tkocovsky@faysharpe.com